

Ground Fielding Decision Making Workbook - Perform Under Pressure

Ground Fielding | Perform Under Pressure | Asset ID CRIC-19-04-06

Purpose: This original printable decision making workbook helps players, coaches, clubs, schools and families work with ground fielding while pursuing one defined outcome: preserve routine when score, time or consequence changes. Its method is to read the situation, name options, choose and review the consequence. Use the pages as a working record, not as a substitute for current competition rules, safe facilities, suitable equipment and qualified coaching or health guidance where those are needed.

Resource Map

The subject focus is to close space, stay balanced and choose a collection method suited to the ball. The six working areas below define the scope for this specific resource. Complete only the rows that matter to the present practice block, and date any observation that may change.

#	Working area	Current evidence	Next check
1	Approach Angle	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
2	Body Height	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
3	Two-Hand Pickup	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
4	One-Hand Pickup	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
5	Clean Transfer	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
6	Throw Decision	Known / estimated / not checked	_____

Robustness Starting Brief

- Anchor: Which evidence separates clean transfer from throw decision in this case? Anchor the test with a clear baseline and intended use.
- Vary: How does approach angle change the interpretation of body height? Vary one meaningful condition or perspective.
- Observe: What boundary on two-hand pickup must be checked before relying on one-hand pickup? Observe what changes and what remains stable.
- Protect: Which observation links throw decision to two-hand pickup, and what remains uncertain? Protect the essential boundary while testing alternatives.
- Evaluate: What alternative explanation could account for both body height and clean transfer? Evaluate whether the method still serves its purpose.
- Edition success means: complete the scenario without abandoning the agreed process

Decision Making Workbook

This format is designed to improve choices by linking ball, field and match information. For ground fielding, apply the method in a deliberate sequence: read the situation, name options, choose and review the consequence. The edition target is perform under pressure, so each entry should show what was noticed, what was tried and what changed.

Working step	What to record	Evidence or source	Status
Anchor Clean Transfer	Which evidence separates clean transfer from throw decision in this case?	Anchor case / date	Open / checked
Vary Throw Decision	How does approach angle change the interpretation of body height?	Challenge condition / date	Open / checked
Observe Approach Angle	What boundary on two-hand pickup must be checked before relying on one-hand pickup?	Response / date	Open / checked
Protect Body Height	Which observation links throw decision to two-hand pickup, and what remains uncertain?	Invariant / date	Open / checked
Evaluate Two-Hand Pickup	What alternative explanation could account for both body height and clean transfer?	Fitness review / date	Open / checked
Adapt One-Hand Pickup	What next check on one-hand pickup would make the record about approach angle more useful?	Robustness decision / date	Open / checked

Completion Standard

- Keep the work tied to ground fielding, not to a vague general intention.
- Apply this adjustment: add one pressure condition only after a stable baseline
- What remains dependable when one meaningful condition changes?
- Expose this outcome risk if it appears: too many conditions vary.
- Use original notes and explanations; quote only when necessary, lawful and properly attributed.
- Ask a qualified coach, safeguarding lead or health professional to challenge one material assumption where appropriate.
- Close with one decision and one follow-up rather than an unranked list of ideas.

Working Note

Edition CRIC-19-04-06 pairs decision making workbook with the outcome 'Perform Under Pressure'. That pairing is the boundary for this workbook: material that does not help that outcome belongs in a separate record.

Analysis x Robustness Focused Practice Lab

This lab pairs a analysis method with a robustness outcome lens. Work through the six actions to turn the subject focus - close space, stay balanced and choose a collection method suited to the ball - into evidence for perform under pressure. Preserve any gap that prevents a supported conclusion.

#	Action	Constraint	Record
1	Question / Anchor approach angle	Which evidence separates clean transfer from throw decision in this case? Anchor the test with a clear baseline and intended use.	Question / Anchor case
2	Measure / Vary body height	How does approach angle change the interpretation of body height? Vary one meaningful condition or perspective.	Baseline / Challenge condition
3	Analyse / Observe two-hand pickup	What boundary on two-hand pickup must be checked before relying on one-hand pickup? Observe what changes and what remains stable.	Pattern / Response
4	Contrast / Protect one-hand pickup	Which observation links throw decision to two-hand pickup, and what remains uncertain? Protect the essential boundary while testing alternatives.	Alternative / Invariant
5	Stress-test / Evaluate clean transfer	What alternative explanation could account for both body height and clean transfer? Evaluate whether the method still serves its purpose.	Stress test / Fitness review
6	Qualify / Adapt throw decision	What next check on one-hand pickup would make the record about approach angle more useful? Adapt the plan without overstating the result.	Decision / Robustness decision

Common Failure Modes

- For approach angle, watch for the question is too broad together with the baseline is weak.
- For body height, watch for the baseline is not comparable together with too many conditions vary.
- For two-hand pickup, watch for one result is an outlier together with the response is selectively recorded.
- For one-hand pickup, watch for correlation is mistaken for cause together with an essential boundary is sacrificed.
- For clean transfer, watch for the alternative fits equally well together with fitness is judged by preference.

Independent Review Question

Format question: Which alternative explanation best challenges the current conclusion? Outcome question: What remains dependable when one meaningful condition changes? Ask a qualified coach, safeguarding lead or health professional where appropriate, then answer before the next practice block: _____

Cricket Practice Plan for Perform Under Pressure

Use one defined practice block to test the outcome rather than attempting every possible task. This edition pairs analysis with robustness to pursue this objective: preserve routine when score, time or consequence changes. Preserve the starting condition and both evidence trails.

Plan field	Entry
Purpose	Perform Under Pressure
Starting condition	_____
Chosen working area	_____
Invariant	add one pressure condition only after a stable baseline
Evidence to capture	_____
Stop or review condition	_____

Thirty Minute Practice Shape

Stage	Action	Evidence
0-5 min	Which evidence separates clean transfer from throw decision in this case? Define the exact analytical question.	Question / Anchor case
5-10 min	How does approach angle change the interpretation of body height? Capture a comparable baseline.	Baseline / Challenge condition
10-18 min	What boundary on two-hand pickup must be checked before relying on one-hand pickup? Examine the strongest pattern.	Pattern / Response
18-24 min	Which observation links throw decision to two-hand pickup, and what remains uncertain? Test an alternative explanation.	Alternative / Invariant
24-27 min	What alternative explanation could account for both body height and clean transfer? Check the result under one changed condition.	Stress test / Fitness review
27-30 min	What next check on one-hand pickup would make the record about approach angle more useful? State a bounded conclusion.	Decision / Robustness decision

Analysis Pass Log

Analysis Pass	Condition	Observation	Decision
1	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check
2	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check
3	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check
4	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check
5	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check

Analytical Claim Test - Robustness Lens

This comparison tests ground fielding against perform under pressure through two independent questions. Format: Which alternative explanation best challenges the current conclusion? Outcome: What remains dependable when one meaningful condition changes? Record material setting differences instead of averaging them away.

Evidence area	Baseline	Alternative	Interpretation prompt
Clean Transfer	_____	_____	Which evidence separates clean transfer from throw decision in this case?
Throw Decision	_____	_____	How does approach angle change the interpretation of body height?
Approach Angle	_____	_____	What boundary on two-hand pickup must be checked before relying on one-hand pickup?
Body Height	_____	_____	Which observation links throw decision to two-hand pickup, and what remains uncertain?
Two-Hand Pickup	_____	_____	What alternative explanation could account for both body height and clean transfer?
One-Hand Pickup	_____	_____	What next check on one-hand pickup would make the record about approach angle more useful?

Format Decision Test

Field	Record
Review question	Which alternative explanation best challenges the current conclusion?
Outcome question	What remains dependable when one meaningful condition changes?
Acceptance lens	complete the scenario without abandoning the agreed process
Conditions held steady	_____
Evidence supporting the choice	_____
Evidence against the choice	_____

Analysis Confidence Check

- Anchor case: what was actually seen, heard, measured or read?
- Format evidence: which record best demonstrates questions bounded?
- Outcome evidence: which record best demonstrates conditions varied?
- Challenge: could fitness is judged by preference explain the result?
- Subject boundary: what does this comparison leave unresolved about clean transfer?

Analysis x Robustness Scenario Cards

Use these paired scenarios to rehearse judgement for perform under pressure. Each card joins a analysis failure mode to an outcome-specific risk. State what remains open and which evidence named in the final column should be collected next.

#	Situation	Review question	Decision
1	Clean Transfer: the question is too broad.	Which evidence separates clean transfer from throw decision in this case?	Anchor case
2	Throw Decision: the baseline is not comparable.	How does approach angle change the interpretation of body height?	Challenge condition
3	Approach Angle: one result is an outlier.	What boundary on two-hand pickup must be checked before relying on one-hand pickup?	Response
4	Body Height: correlation is mistaken for cause.	Which observation links throw decision to two-hand pickup, and what remains uncertain?	Invariant
5	Two-Hand Pickup: the alternative fits equally well.	What alternative explanation could account for both body height and clean transfer?	Fitness review
6	One-Hand Pickup: the conclusion overreaches.	What next check on one-hand pickup would make the record about approach angle more useful?	Robustness decision

Decision Record

Field	Notes
Chosen format scenario	_____
Outcome lens	What remains dependable when one meaningful condition changes?
Evidence available	_____
Evidence missing	_____
Controlled next step	_____
Person / review date	_____

Eight Analysis and Robustness Evidence Tracker

Track perform under pressure across eight practice blocks using the analysis method and the robustness outcome lens. Keep conditions visible and use this review question: What remains dependable when one meaningful condition changes?

#	Focus	Condition	Result	Next action
1	Throw Decision	_____	_____	_____
2	Approach Angle	_____	_____	_____
3	Body Height	_____	_____	_____
4	Two-Hand Pickup	_____	_____	_____
5	One-Hand Pickup	_____	_____	_____
6	Clean Transfer	_____	_____	_____
7	Throw Decision	_____	_____	_____
8	Approach Angle	_____	_____	_____

Analysis Evidence Dashboard

Measure	Baseline	Current	Evidence location
Approach Angle: Questions Bounded / Anchor Cases Recorded	_____	_____	_____
Body Height: Baselines Captured / Conditions Varied	_____	_____	_____
Two-Hand Pickup: Patterns Tested / Responses Observed	_____	_____	_____
One-Hand Pickup: Alternatives Considered / Boundaries Protected	_____	_____	_____
Clean Transfer: Limitations Stated / Fitness Tests Completed	_____	_____	_____
Throw Decision: Conclusions Qualified / Adaptations Justified	_____	_____	_____

Interpret the Trend

- I changed one meaningful condition while protecting the essential boundary.
- I limited the conclusion to the conditions that were actually tested.
- Keep the method only when the evidence for perform under pressure improves without hiding fitness is judged by preference.
- Which evidence separates clean transfer from throw decision in this case?
- Use a qualified coach, safeguarding lead or health professional when the evidence crosses a safety, rights, health or authority boundary.

Analysis x Robustness Next Step Plan

Finish by turning the paired format and outcome record into one owned action. For this decision making workbook, the intended result was perform under pressure; the outcome test is: What remains dependable when one meaningful condition changes? The close-out standard remains: complete the scenario without abandoning the agreed process.

Close-out field	Record
Most useful evidence	_____
Important limitation	_____
Decision	Keep / adapt / pause / seek review
Next action	_____
Owner and date	_____
Evidence location	_____

Completion Review

- Subject check: Which evidence separates clean transfer from throw decision in this case?
- Subject check: How does approach angle change the interpretation of body height?
- Format check: I stated what the analysis does not establish.
- Outcome check: I limited the conclusion to the conditions that were actually tested.
- I used a progressive warm-up and did not ignore pain, unsafe surfaces, heat or fatigue.
- I kept the drill generic and did not imply affiliation with a player, team, league or governing body.

Originality and Responsible Use

Original independent resource. This general practice, observation and review aid was written specifically for asset CRIC-19-04-06. It does not reproduce a book passage, manual, official form, commercial question bank, branded training plan, broadcast, photograph, logo, artwork, map, proprietary diagram or third-party worksheet. Any user-added quotation, image, question or source material remains the user's responsibility and may require attribution or permission.

Educational use only. Verify current facts, rules, competition requirements, local regulations, health and safety conditions with authoritative sources and a suitably qualified person where consequences matter. This workbook does not grant approval, certification, legal rights or permission to use third-party material.